

Re: Since k varies but not G suggests an Eather

Re: Since k varies but not G suggests an Eather

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-06/msg01922.html>

- *From:* "guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 23 Jun 2006 23:03:25 -0700
-

guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Randy Poe wrote:

guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

snip because it's all related to the motion of charges

Not really a
dipole
moment but
a dipole
wave

What?

The charges are not fixed in space,

No, this is misguided, no matter how many times you say it.

– Randy

There's hydrogen or atoms:

For hydrogen: Do you have any evidence or links that electrons and protons don't orbit each other (because in another post you said they don't necessarily orbit but rather vibrate together)?

Re: Since k varies but not G suggests an Ether

For atoms: Do you have any evidence or links that electrons don't orbit the nucleus (does the electrons velocity increase or decrease the farther from the nucleus?) ?

Sorry I keep forgetting about the "other" quantum numbers, for S P D F orbitals, and even by looking at the pictures of them I still don't recognize the orbitals:

.the "p" orbital is two spheres...since they're speaking of electron clouds therefore I presume both spheres are of the orbits of two electrons (and in the center is the protons and the nucleus)?...or is it the orbits of two groups of electrons in a shell?

all though they are ALL even the hydrogen still "orbitals" and therefore all generate dipole waves?

I haven't found any so called "static motionless electrons" in nature that you mentioned prior (the penning trap is not a natural phenomena).

If in both cases above there is orbit therefore there is a dipole wave with a specific frequency (related to the velocity of the charges)?