

Re: The Present.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-07/msg00074.html>

- *From:* "Sorcerer" <Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 01 Jul 2006 22:22:06 GMT
-

"Spoonfed" <good4usoul@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1151781735.466985.110590@xx

|
|>>> Who cares?
|>>>
|>>> You do.
|>>
|>> You're right. What you are doing looks like this:
|>>
|>> P→Q
|>> ~Q
|>> -----
|>> ~R
|>>
|>> It really bugs me that you are doing that.
|>>
|

| It really doesn't matter whether you came to the conclusion, P, by
| derivation or by reading it off your own colon. Unless you are in some
| way able to establish that R implies P or R is equivalent to P, you
| have no argument.

You butted in on my reply to dralex:
Sorcerer wrote:

"Alex" <dralexgreen@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1151572565.855095.162940@xx

|
| Sorcerer wrote:
|> "Alex" <dralexgreen@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
|> news:1151498035.048666.171660@xx
|> | Relativity has a lot to say about the present moment. See:
|> |
|> | http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Special_relativity
|> |
|> | The derivation of the cuckoo transformations is given in
|> | <http://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/>

Re: The Present.

|>
|> which is explained at
|> <http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/Smart/Smart.htm>
|>
|> Notice the claim:
|> "Given that the equations are linear", which they are not, the lying
|> garbage at
|> <http://tinyurl.com/qc5o6> is NOT Einstein's SR.

|
|
| The equations are linear,

$$\tau(0) = 0$$

$$\tau(4) = 8$$

$$\tau(10) = 8$$

$$\tau(16) = 8$$

$$\tau(20) = 16$$

No way is that linear.

| I assume you mean that linear equations are
| inappropriate.

You can assume all you want, I'm talking mathematics, not assumptions.
The equations are not linear, no matter how much you assert they are.

| In this derivation the assumption is that space is
| homogenous and isotropic, ie: it is assumed that space has a form that
| can be described by the linear equations (Special Relativity).

Isotropic:

$$\tau_x = (t - vx/c^2) / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$$

$$\tau_y = (t - vy/c^2) / \sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}$$

$$\tau_z = (t - vz/c^2) / \sqrt{1 - w^2/c^2}$$

$$\xi = (x - vt) / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$$

$$\eta = (y - ut) / \sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}$$

$$\zeta = (z - wt) / \sqrt{1 - w^2/c^2}$$

You need three time axes to be isotropic. Spacetime is not isotropic.

| There
| are no lies, the assumption is stated, obviously the equations would
| need modifying if space were curved.

I've just modified them – obviously you need three wristwatches.

Re: The Present.

Re: The Present.

The lying garbage is either

$$\tau_y = t$$

$$\tau_z = t$$

$$\eta = y$$

$$\zeta = z$$

or

$$\tau_x = (t - vx/c^2) / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$$

$$\xi = (x - vt) / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$$

It's kinda hard to say which since the idiots Einstein and Minkowski use the Galilean transforms for the y- and z-axes. If people want to play mathematical games they should at least be consistent or they'll be caught in a contradiction, aren't you? :-)

Androcles

and you haven't shown anything I said was wrong.

Snipping and changing the subject only demonstrates what a stupid cunt you are, so fuck off, you moron.

Androcles

.