

Re: Hard SR questions?

Re: Hard SR questions?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-08/msg01364.html>

- *From:* jt64@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 13 Aug 2006 14:52:39 -0700
-

N:dlzc D:aol T:com (dlzc) skrev:

Dear jt64:

<jt64@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1155494971.336801.33070@xx

...

(According to relativity) and Lorentz it is easy to see when you check my figures for the actual length contraction above. Nothing else, it is just wishful thinking on your behalf.

Your figures are mutually inconsistent.

Care to try for second prize?

David A. Smith

I understand that the expected Hz would need to be a fraction of 1/7 when travelin in 0,99c.

Within the example 6000/7

However it will not happen because there is inconsistency between the relativity doppler effect and the lorents transformation for some reason the actual so there must be something wrong with the lorents transformation.

Because without any doubt 60 frames will pass in 0.01 seconds according to relativity because *FIRST BIT IN FRAME MUST PASS EXACTLY AFTER ONE SECOND*<---->*LAST BIT LAST FRAME MUST PASS BEFORE SHIP* so.....
1.01-1=0.01.

There is no room for interpretation of the above. ANYONE CAN SEE IT

Re: Hard SR questions?

Re: Hard SR questions?

So if we know the factor is dilated by roughly 7 at ship. We actually know that *FROM WITHIN THE SHIP FRAME* it is sent out 60 frames during 0,14285714285714285714285714285714.

THIS IS NOT NEGOTIABLE OR A MATTER OF INTERPRETATION

And if we know this we also know that in 1 second the framerate will be 60/0,14285714285714285714285714285714.

DO YOU FIND THIS HARD TO UNDERSTAND?

JT

.