

## Re: c+v and c-v or not?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-08/msg01800.html>

---

- *From:* "Sorcerer" <[Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:01:04 GMT
- 

"Peri of Pera" <[riedt1@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:riedt1@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:1155958948.789757.308890@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:1155958948.789757.308890@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

|  
| Sorcerer wrote:  
| > "Peri of Pera" <[riedt1@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:riedt1@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
| > [news:1155874974.589082.25600@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:1155874974.589082.25600@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

| > |  
| > | Peri of Pera wrote:  
| > | > Sorcerer wrote:  
| > | > > "Peri of Pera" <[riedt1@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:riedt1@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
| > | > > [news:1155800831.212074.173760@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:1155800831.212074.173760@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
| > | > > Albert Einstein wrote in his 1905 paper 'The Special Theory of  
| > | > > Relativity':

| > | > >  
| > | > > Please get your facts right, Peter.  
| > | > > The 1905 paper was "On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies".  
| > | > > <http://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/>

| > | > >  
| > | > > Androcles  
| > | >  
| > | > Andy, thanks for the correction but it is the same thing. Wrong  
title

| > right content.  
| >  
| > Yes, In Joanna K. Rowlings "Harry Potter and the Philosopher's Stone" it  
| > reads  
| > ALICE was beginning to get very tired of sitting by her sister on the  
bank  
| > and of having nothing to do: once or twice she had peeped into the book  
her  
| > sister was reading, but it had no pictures or conversations in it, "and  
what  
| > is the use of a book," thought Alice, "without pictures or  
conversations?"

| >  
| > So she was considering, in her own mind (as well as she could, for the  
hot  
| > day made her feel very sleepy and stupid), whether the pleasure of

Re: c+v and c-v or not?

making a

| > daisy-chain would be worth the trouble of getting up and picking the  
| > daisies, when suddenly a White Rabbit with pink eyes ran close by her.

| >

| >

| > Right content, wrong title, different author, but it's the same thing,  
don't

| > worry about it.

| >

| > Androcles.

|

| Andy, let me correct myself again: My AE quote is from chapter 16 of  
| AE's book 'Relativity: The Special and General Theory'. It was written  
| in 1916 and published by Methuan in 1920. Not too sorry about my  
| mistake but thank you for pointing it out twice.

|

| Peter Riedt

Well, you see, Petey, there's an 11 gap between 1905 and 1916 and  
WW I was in progress that delayed publication until 1920.

Einstein had plenty of opportunity to correct his 1905 blunders,  
particularly as Georges Sagnac had produced a rotating interferometer  
in 1913, so there never was any excuse for the moron to repeat  
his garbage in 1920.

This is the story of a scientific crime. I mean a crime committed by a  
scientist against fellow scientists and scholars, a betrayal of the ethics  
and integrity of his profession that has forever deprived mankind of  
fundamental information about an important area of astronomy and history.  
Einstein developed certain astronomical theories and discovered that they  
were not consistent with observation. Instead of abandoning the theories, he  
deliberately fabricated observations from the theories so that he could  
claim that the observations prove the validity of his theories. In every  
scientific or scholarly setting known, this practice is called fraud, and it  
is a crime against science and scholarship.

My thanks to Sir Isaac Newton, I mean no disrespect by using his words. I  
have only substituted "Einstein" for "Ptolemy"

"God does not play dice" – Einstein

"Einstein bluffs at poker better than he plays dice" – God.

|

.

Re: c+v and c-v or not?