

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-08/msg02596.html>

- *From:* "Harry" <harald.vanlintel@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:54:32 +0200
-

<rambus2005@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1156949836.646208.46920@xx>

Harry wrote:

<rambus2005@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1156946546.406267.45090@xx>

Harry wrote:

<rambus2005@xxxxxxxx> wrote in
message
<news:1156865657.413616.104270@xx>

Tom Roberts wrote:

Harry
wrote:

According
to
Munera
in
a
later,
refined
publication,
the

signal

can

even

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

change
considerably
in
the
time
of
making
one
turn.

Reference,
please. I see
no such
preprint on
arXiv.org.

Are you are
thinking of
this:
H.Munera,
"Michelson–Morley
Experiments
Revisited:

Systematic

Errors,
Consistency
Among
Different
Experiments,
and
Compatibility

with

Absolute
Space",
APEIRON
Vol. 5 Nr.
1–2,
January–April
1998

Page

37.

If so, he

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

completely
ignores the
enormous
systematic
drift, and

makes

several
incorrect
statements
about
variation
over time of
the

signal

(including
the claim it
varies
during a
single turn).

As I point
out in
footnote 7
on page 6,
the rotation
of the

earth

introduces a
negligible
variation in
the
orientation
of the

apparatus

during a
run.

Munera is
wrong.
Munera
claimed to
consider
"systematic

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

errors"

but

ignored the
systematic
drift, which
generates
errorbars
vastly

larger

than the
tiny effects
he
considered.
And he did
not
understand

the

flaws

in Miller's
analysis
algorithm.

I tried to
send H.
Munera an
email
pointing out
my paper,
with

the

hope

he would
look at it
and
comment,
but all
attempts
bounced.

Tom

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

Roberts

Here is a later Munera
"masterpiece"

<http://www.ensmp.fr/aflb/AFLB-272/aflb272p463.pdf>

...a regurgitation of the
earlier Apeiron publication.
BTW,

Apeiron is

another one of the fringe
journals, with a clear
antirelativistic
twist. It publishes mostly
garbage rejected elsewhere.

Thanks for the direct reference to an article
that Tom asked me for!

But

I

have not seen that one published in Apeiron;
instead, this was

apparently an

invited paper for a special edition of AFLB
(challenging mainstream,

in

honour of de Brogli).
Thus your diversion attempt is noticed. How
would you describe the

Annales

de la Fondation Louis de Brogli?

Harald

Diversion? What the hell are you talking about? This is your
"thank

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

you" for trying to help you out?

Not me, but Tom. I already had a copy of that paper. And "Thanks" does indeed mean "thank you"!

About diversion: weirdly enough you did not comment on the journal in

which

it appeared, but on another journal.

Annales de la Fondation de Louis de BrogliE apparently allowed a kook thru the referee system, it happens all the time, look at the Consoli paper, it went thru Phys.Rev.Letters A, a much more reputable publication than the now defunct "Annales".

Apparently we agree that AFLB is not a kook journal.

Harald

Look what I was writing:

"Last I looked the Munera paper was collecting dust in arxiv, has any journal been conned into publishing it?"

At the time I was writing I had not searched for the Munera paper yet, I did not know that it had been published by Annales. So there was no "diversion"

The paper is still a kook paper and any "follower" of Dayton Miller is a kook just the same.

Maybe something had gone wrong with editing or transmission when the following message arrived in my mailbox:

"

From: rambus2005@xxxxxxxxxx

[...]

Here is a later Munera "masterpiece"

<http://www.ensmp.fr/aflb/AFLB-272/aflb272p463.pdf>

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

Re: An Explanation of Dayton Miller's Anomalous "Ether Drift" Result

....a regurgitation of the earlier Apeiron publication. BTW, Apeiron is another one of the fringe journals, with a clear antirelativistic twist. It publishes mostly garbage rejected elsewhere.

"

Anyway, any follower of anyone is IMHO a kook. In contrast, experimenters who verify (redo) experiments by others in a professional way practice science.

Harald

.