

Re: DTG: A New Theory of Gravity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-09/msg01865.html>

- *From:* jem <xxx@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 19 Sep 2006 08:51:41 -0400
-

kenseto wrote:

"jem" <xxx@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:lbwPg.140998\\$LF4.111307@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:lbwPg.140998$LF4.111307@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

kenseto wrote:

"jem" <xxx@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:OKbPg.140951\\$LF4.14154@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:OKbPg.140951$LF4.14154@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

And again, what's the Seto-definition for
meter-stick accuracy?

Also the accuracy of a meter stick is not determined. It is
defined by a
metal bar in Paris.

Earth to Seto: the meter hasn't been defined by a metal bar in Paris for
more than 20 years.

Apparently in Seto-land, once the standard meter has been defined, every
instrument that's called a meter-stick must necessarily be exactly one
meter in length. Unfortunately, it doesn't work that way outside of
Seto-land. Out here, we have to be concerned with how closely our
measuring instruments match our standards (i.e. how accurate they are).

Hey idiot what is our standard for a meter length? 1/299,792, 458

Re: DTG: A New Theory of Gravity

light-seconds....apparently you don't even know that's a circular definition.

Nobody "knows" it but you, Seto. It's simply another of your many absurd, albeit entertaining, delusions.

BTW why are you asking me for the accuracy of a clock

and meter stick??????????????????.

Because your answers are so amusing. :)

Idiot runt.

Anyone who doesn't realize that measurements can be inaccurate oughtn't be questioning the intelligence of anyone else.

.