

Re: New Look on Relativity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-10/msg00241.html>

- *From:* "spaceflow" <first@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 3 Oct 2006 08:25:38 -0700
-

Sue... wrote:

spaceflow wrote:

In my work "The Spacetime Phenomena Part 1", published on <http://www.angelfire.com/pq/spaceflow/index.html>,

I have to spare my browser the barrage of pop-ups and redirects from that host. Try geocities, 250m or prohosting.

the features of relativity are derived on base of conception of the spacetime continuum as a real space-time structure.

OK... Didn't some bloke named Eisenstern or somesuch try that some time ago?

The results presented differ somewhat from the Einstein's theory in the following items:

Yeah! That was his name!

1/ the formulas derived for time dilation, length contraction and mass increase slightly differ from Einstein's equations,

Are these pages consitant with your changes ?
<http://farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/em/lectures/node50.html>
<http://farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/em/lectures/node126.html>

2/ the existence of the preferred inertial system is derived.

Re: New Look on Relativity

Here is a 'squishy' preferred inertial system you can compare yours with:

Plausible mechanism:

<http://www.citebase.org/cgi-bin/citations?id=oai:arXiv.org:physics/0107015>

<http://www.chem.purdue.edu/gchelp/liquids/inddip.html>

<http://www.mypage.bluewin.ch/Bizarre/GRAV.htm>

Experiment:

http://www.esa.int/SPECIALS/GSP/SEM0L6OVGJE_0.html

Commercial Product:

http://www.ixsea.com/downloads/techno/0001-Octans_highly_compact.pdf

Sue...

spaceflow wrote:

1/ I am surely not forcing you to accept the idea of static spacetime continuum of a given structure. If you looked into my work, you would see that I am giving it a break to change its density depending on speed, and on position in gravitational field.

2/ Thank you for interesting tips. The advance of my work is marked in <http://www.part3.spaceflow.sk/> .

.