

Re: Hi Folks, Pmb is back! :)

Re: Hi Folks, Pmb is back! :)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-11/msg02222.html>

- *From:* "Ken S. Tucker" <dynamics@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 25 Nov 2006 12:53:05 -0800
-

John Baez wrote:

In article <O2d9h.1073\$bz5.653@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Sorcerer <Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

For example, John Baez is a "moderator" that will automatically reject any post of mine because he is the object of my attack and censorship is his only form of defence.

I haven't been a moderator since 2003. Maybe it's time to make up something new to complain about?

Hi Dr. John Baez, I'm lurkin this thread too.

To your question, I figure a mathematical physicist includes all universes then uses exclusion.

While a physical mathematician excludes all universes then uses inclusion. For example, only certain metrics hold using AE's $G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$, I think that's an inclusion, albeit questionable in the long term.

BTW, I'm thinking of resettling GR onto a base where LIGO and GP-b is null, within GR Principles, do ya think it's to soon?

Ken