

Special Relativity is Dead (second proof)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2006-11/msg02375.html>

- *From:* jan.verheul@xxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 27 Nov 2006 23:02:57 -0800
-

Dirk vd Moortel,

If your mathematical capabilities are as good as your detective capabilities, you cannot even add two integers. You are making a fool of yourself by looking for a "real" identity whereas I have given my real name, and an email address of one of my spam boxes. There is no reason to doubt this and the "forensic" work that is presented to back the claim that I am "Jaco Verheij" is laughable. Shall I send you my PhD thesis? It is full of math, about formal languages, and I am quite sure that you will be drowned in it before you reach page 5.

Questioning a scientific degree of someone who claims to have one is cheap, especially if you don't have one yourself. Are you aware of the fact that unrightfully using scientific degrees/titles is an offense? It is at least in decent countries, like my country, and there is no single hair on my head that would contemplate claiming a scientific degrees if I would not have them.

I have noticed that your postings all receive a five star rating by one person, even if the contents are just chatting with no scientific relevance (watch the postings immediately preceding this posting). You seem to have a silent admirer. Who is doing this? Your wife? Or are you doing it yourself via a for that purpose created alias?

By the way: I like the "Permutation Generator" that you generously made available to the world on your home page (users.pandora.be/vdmoortel/dirk/index.html). Especially the possibility to produce "shuffled output" is very useful in my eyes ;-) I saw that you have just released version 2.5. Obviously you are constantly refining your program. How many hours did you work on it last week? I am really impressed! How did you solve the problem algorithmically? Recursively, or is that a new word for you? It must have been a formidable achievement in your eyes, if you present it to the world. (since you are playing with toys, we can at least guess your age.)

The "Proof by Construction" geometrical problem is a boring triviality. Where is the error? Well, your drawing is wrong. The congruent triangle should be drawn with point D left of BG instead of right of BG. This is evident from the drawing, since CF and DF should have equal length. I

Special Relativity is Dead (second proof)

saw it in less than a minute. In a "right" drawing angle DBG counts negative in the sum, and therefore the sum is less than 90 degrees.
"Very interesting".

Regards, Jan alias "Jaco".

.