

Re: The Psychosis of Relativity

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-01/msg03135.html>

- *From:* "Dirk Van de moortel" <dirkvandemoortel@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 31 Jan 2007 19:14:47 GMT
-

"Randy Poe" <poespam-trap@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1170268148.665332.120450@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

On Jan 31, 11:23 am, "Dumbledore_" <Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

"Randy Poe" <poespam-t...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
[messagenews:1170259991.768929.80110@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](message:1170259991.768929.80110@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

On Jan 31, 9:35 am, "Dumbledore_"
<Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

"Seven Seas Oscirius"
<brightice2...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
[messagenews:1170241411.319965.277710@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](message:1170241411.319965.277710@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

On Jan 30, 6:02 pm, "Eric
Gisse"
<jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Jan 30,
4:51 pm,
"Dumbledore_"
<Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

<http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/SRvNM.htm>

Der
alte

Re: The Psychosis of Relativity

Hexenmeister
und
Engineer
Androcles
Dumbledore
B.A.,
M.Sc.,
Ph.D.,
Headmaster,
hogwarts.physics
school
for
zauberlehrlings.
"One
muggle's
magic
is
another
sorcerer's
engineering"

<http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/>

I like how
you don't
even bother
trying to
have your
arguments
make
sense
anymore.

You know
you are
insane, we
know you
are insane.

This repetition proves that
you are insane.

Re: The Psychosis of Relativity

Gisse's idea of sense:

"But the ray moves relatively to the initial point of k , when measured in the stationary system, with the velocity $c-v$ " ; "It follows, further, that the velocity of light c cannot be altered by composition with a velocity less than that of light." --Albert Einstein 1879 – 1955

Two different physical quantities, two different values.
What's the issue?

HAHAHAHA!
One different physical quantity, the ray,

The ray is not a quantity.

two single values, c and $c-v$.

For two separate values.

1. Speed of ray relative to observer, as measured in frame K : c
2. Speed of ray relative to observer, as measured in frame K' : c
3. Rate of change of distance of ray from origin of K , as measured in frame K' : $c-v$.

1 and 3 are two different things, described with two different words, measured in different ways. They have different values.

1 and 2 are similar things measured the same way by different observers. Both observers obtain c for that measurement.

I'm not sure I really believe you have trouble distinguishing between 1 and 3, any more than I really believe the concept or "round-trip time" is foreign to you.

But if it amuses you to make a jackass of yourself pretending to have trouble with those concepts, go for it.

He went for it ;-)

Dirk Vdm

.