

Re: MOND

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-03/msg01587.html>

- *From:* "Eric Gisse" <jowr.pi@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 20 Mar 2007 01:34:43 -0700
-

anandsr21@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Mar 20, 8:42 am, "Eric Gisse" <jowr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 19, 12:56 am, anands...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Mar 17, 9:05 pm, "Juan R."
<juanrgonzal...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Mar 17, 5:35 am, anands...@xxxxxxxx
wrote:

On Mar 10, 11:28 pm, "Juan
R."
<juanrgonzal...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

It is not off-topic, if the question was "is there an interaction which increases with distance", because there is an interaction.

It doesn't matter if it is microscopic or macroscopic. After all microscopic does affect the macro world. And we know that the microscopic makes up the world.

I should have been more clear. I knew about quark confinement, I just

Re: MOND

expected a shade more thought to be given to the subject than was actually given.

"microscopic" is still a half dozen orders of magnitude too large for the strong force interactions. Strong force interactions are of the femtometer range – and DO NOT EXIST outside it because the strong force mediating particle [whatever the fuck it is] is massive.

What I *meant* was name one verified macroscopic interaction which increases with distance with emphasis on