

# Re: Photon, Momentum, Mass

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-05/msg01461.html>

---

- *From:* [vern@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:vern@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 9 May 2007 05:50:21 -0700
- 

On May 8, 1:16 pm, Bilge <dubi...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 2007-05-08, v...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx <v...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<snip>

Regardless of what you think about me, John posed a simple question and the geometrical argument provided by Tom and you does not provide

The answers has been explained to both you and mr. kennaugh numerous times and in great detail. The fact that you are still asking the same non-sequitur indicates that you and mr. kennaugh need to find new hobbies. Neither of you have the capacity for the depth of thought required to understand the physical basis for the answer. Your comprehension of physics is so superficial that even the simplest physics eludes your grasp. Go study on your own for a while. Everyone who has wasted their efforts on you and mr. kennaugh over the years has exhibited a great deal more patience than either of you deserve. – Hide quoted text –

That's bullshit Bilge; you're just an asshole. Show me even one other post in the history of this group where in the context of your "geometry" argument, anyone has discussed why the motion of the source does not affect the speed of emitted light.

Do you agree with Jeckyl's post that the "geometry" of the universe has no effect in the FOR of the source, but only affects things in other FORs?

Vern

.