

Re: Photon, Momentum, Mass

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-05/msg01537.html>

- *From:* "Jeckyl" <noone@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 10 May 2007 09:53:18 +1000
-

"Bilge" <dubious@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:slrnf43pbd.2b17.dubious@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

On 2007-05-09, vern@xxxxxxxxxxxx <vern@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On May 8, 1:16 pm, Bilge <dubi...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 2007-05-08, v...@xxxxxxxxxxxx
<v...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<snip>

Regardless of what you think about me, John posed a simple question and the geometrical argument provided by Tom and you does not provide

The answers has been explained to both you and mr. kennaugh numerous times and in great detail. The fact that you are still asking the same non-sequitur indicates that you and mr. kennaugh need to find new hobbies. Neither of you have the capacity for the depth of thought required to understand the physical basis for the answer. Your comprehension of physics is so superficial that even the simplest physics eludes your grasp. Go study on your own for a while. Everyone who has wasted their efforts on you and mr. kennaugh over the years has exhibited a great deal more patience than either of you deserve.– Hide quoted text –

Re: Photon, Momentum, Mass

That's bullshit Bilge; you're just an asshole.

It is not bullshit and if not putting up with bullshit from people who want to pontificate on subjects they know nothing about makes me an asshole, then I'm an asshole (or anything else you want to call me). Ignorance is not a crime, but your choice to disregard all of the attempts to enlighten you and instead deliberately remain ignorant, is inexcusable.

Show me even one other post in the history of this group where in the context of your "geometry" argument, anyone has discussed why the motion of the source does not affect the speed of emitted light.

Try the search feature at <http://groups.google.com>

Do you agree with Jeckyl's post that the "geometry" of the universe has no effect in the FOR of the source, but only affects things in other FORs?

That is not what he said and attempting to straighten out your misunderstanding is not worth the effort, since you have never once made the slightest effort to understand anything which might jeopardize your 15th century grasp of physical phenomena.

What I said was that from the FoR of the source, the light is emitted at, and measured at, c .. it never goes faster or slower than c as far as the source is concerned, so there needs to be no explanation of what makes it NOT travel at c from the FoR the source, because it always DOES travel at c from the FoR of the source.

And in every other FoR, the light ALSO is measured as going at c . No FoR ever measures it going slower or faster than c . So there is no mechanism required to make it slow down or speed up .. because it doesn't.

What there DOES need to be is a 'geometry' that explains how the different FoR all measure the same speed for light.

.