

## Re: O'Barr's comments on SR and LET.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-06/msg00794.html>

---

- *From:* "Jeckyl" <noone@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 6 Jun 2007 09:59:57 +1000
- 

"Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
<news:1181056139.896191.315060@xx>

O'Barr's comments on SR and LET.

So .. please confirm

In LET, as you propose, does space and time remain simple 3D Euclidean space and independent absolute time?

Does all matter physically get shorter (as well as the spaces between matter) in the direction of travel, and do all clocks physically run slower when we consider them moving relative to the absolute ether frame?

Is it as if you had adjusted the mechanisms of all clocks to make them tick slower, and had put the matter in a vice to make it shorter?

I.e. as seen from the absolute ether frame is it a physical shortening and slowing of clocks?

If so is it the ether that does this?

Or is the shortening and clock slowing that one would see from the ether frame just an illusion somehow?

I had misunderstood you claims earlier I think (eg that not only did clocks slow, but that their times got out of sync when moving) .. so please confirm exactly what effects movement relative to the ether frame has on matter and clocks AND on space and on time.

.