

## Re: O'Barr's comments on SR and LET.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-06/msg00843.html>

---

- *From:* "rotchm@xxxxxxxxx" <rotchm@xxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 06 Jun 2007 02:33:10 -0000
- 

My view on it...

In LET, as you propose, does space and time remain simple 3D Euclidean space and independent absolute time?

yes.

Does all matter physically get shorter (as well as the spaces between matter) in the direction of travel, and do all clocks physically run slower when we consider them moving relative to the absolute ether frame?

Yes.

Is it as if you had adjusted the mechanisms of all clocks to make them tick slower, and had put the matter in a vice to make it shorter?

yes.

I.e. as seen from the absolute ether frame is it a physical shortening and slowing of clocks?

yes.

If so is it the ether that does this?

Re: O'Barr's comments on SR and LET.

Yes. The properties of the fields, or "concentrations" of the fields, the field potentials due to finite speed of transmission of the fields...

Or is the shortening and clock slowing that one would see from the ether frame just an illusion somehow?

No

Just get acquainted with LET an many of its modern analysis.

.