

Re: The velocity of light going pass a moving train.

Re: The velocity of light going pass a moving train.

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-06/msg01510.html>

- *From:* "Jeckyl" <noone@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 12 Jun 2007 17:42:57 +1000
-

"Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1181615165.907057.129080@xx>

Subject: Re: The velocity of light going pass a
moving train.

PD <TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

...

O'Barr wrote:

O.K. Phil! What happened to your honesty? It does not matter beans what anyone's feelings might be. This is science. You tell me what is simpler, a one-dimensional drawing or a two-dimensional drawing? You tell me what is simpler, a three dimensional theory, or a four dimensional theory?

PD <TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

This is disingenuous. Classical physics and LET are 4 dimensional theories: 3 space dimensions and 1 time dimension. $3+1 = 4$, not 3.

O'Barr comments:

Does P stand for Phil? I thought I was talking to Phil!

PD, You forgot about temperature. Does this make everything 5 dimensional?

Nonsense

Re: The velocity of light going pass a moving train.

Re: The velocity of light going pass a moving train.

Look, PD, this is not disingenuous. I did not ask you, or him, or anyone, to respond. You have all individually made the decision to act as if you understood physics.

We do better than you, obviously

These comments about LET being 4-D is improper.

Don't you get it? .. ALL classic and LET physics involves the four dimensions .. 3 of space and one of time.

And you should all pay for your lack of understanding.

Oh .. give us a break .. who do you think you are?

Yes, in reality, there are a great number of dimensions that can be considered and used. But when SR experts say that SR is 4-D, they mean that time can become space,

Yes .. in SR .. NOT in LET. Don't you even know your own theory?

and space can become time, by just a simple rotation. They specifically say that there is a 4-D spacetime continuum. And for this, they will hang!
You are no expert in anything that we are discussing. But you are better than most on this net. I have every right in this world to make fun of your mis-understanding of SR and LET. If you do not like it, get someone to check what you say before you post it.

Try that yourself .. you post so much crap its not funny.

.

Re: The velocity of light going pass a moving train.