

Re: So... Lorentz Contractions are \*physical\* not observed?

## Re: So... Lorentz Contractions are \*physical\* not observed?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-07/msg00676.html>

---

- *From:* "Jeckyl" <noone@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sun, 8 Jul 2007 11:55:28 +1000
- 

"kenseto" <kenseto@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:468fc9f7\\$0\\$14939\\$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:468fc9f7$0$14939$4c368faf@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"jem" <xxx@xxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:i8Mji.6075\\$Oz7.610@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:i8Mji.6075$Oz7.610@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

kenseto wrote:

"jem" <xxx@xxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:JAqji.565025\\$6P2.366932@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:JAqji.565025$6P2.366932@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

kenseto wrote:

"Jem" <xxx@xxxxxxx>  
wrote in message  
[news:6oNii.8415\\$Zt6.7938@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:6oNii.8415$Zt6.7938@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

kenseto  
wrote:

"jem"  
<xxx@xxxxxxx>  
wrote  
in  
message  
[news:iEMii.8412\\$Zt6.3916@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:iEMii.8412$Zt6.3916@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Re: So... Lorentz Contractions are \*physical\* not observed?

Duh! Didn't  
I just tell  
you that SR  
says it /isn't/  
able to fit  
through?  
Are you  
working on  
your Space  
Cadet  
certification,  
or what?

Duh....didn't Roberts and  
you say that you can rotate a  
rod to fit

through

the door way? Now you are  
saying that a circular plate  
can't rotate  
and

fit

through the door way. Does  
that mean that the SR  
concept of rotation  
(geometric projection) is a  
bunch of bull shit? BTW the  
SR mutual time  
dilation and mutual length  
contraction are derived from  
the same SR

bull

shit of geometric projection.

Get a 4 year-old to explain to you why a  
jar's contents can be  
extracted with a spoon, but not with the jar's

Re: So... Lorentz Contractions are \*physical\* not observed?

Re: So... Lorentz Contractions are \*physical\* not observed?

removable top.

So are you saying that the SR concept of geometric projection is valid

only

for skinny rods and not for objects that are 3 dimensional??  
Don't you

see

how stupid such assertion is?

What's the matter, Seto, couldn't you find a 4 year-old? There is \*no\* way to rotate a circular disk so that it rigidly fits through a circular hole when the diameter of the hole is less than the diameter of the disk (i.e. \*every\* geometric projection of the disk overlaps the hole).

In the context of Relativity, there's no orientation at which your metal plate can approach the circular doorway without at least one of the disks diameters being perpendicular to the direction of travel, and there's no length contraction perpendicular to the direction of travel. Get it?

Hey idiot that's the point. The concept of geometric projection is bogus because it only work for skinny rods and not for all objects.

Your lack of understanding is astounding

The 'projection' works just fine for ANY object.

[snip nonsense about crackpot theory]

.