

Re: Bunch of corrections (multipart message)

Re: Bunch of corrections (multipart message)

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-07/msg02664.html>

- *From:* "Juan R." <juanrgonzaleza@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 24 Jul 2007 01:50:00 -0700
-

On Jul 23, 5:49 pm, Yuan...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Jul 23, 4:49 am, "Juan R." <juanrgonzal...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Jul 22, 8:41 pm, Yuan...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Jul 22, 1:24 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 21, 10:05 pm,
karandash2...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:
[...]

Why is it that a lot of your posts are
followed with folks voting it
up 24 times?

Chronic masturbation?

Love,
Jenny

Dishonest tactics?

Re: Bunch of corrections (multipart message)

Re: Bunch of corrections (multipart message)

I think he just needs a girlfriend.

I cannot imagine a reason which she would receive such one punishment.

Notice that Eric couldn't figure out that karandash2 was voting for himself!

Why am I not surprised karandash2 was voting for himself 20 or more times?

And yes, Eric continues dreaming in GisseLand.

Notice also that karandash2 couldn't resist voting me one star on my two posts.

It seems he continues without resist the temptation.

Karandash2 also considers that the message containing an useful list of references to standard printed literature, two ArXiv preprints, and one sci.physics.research thread also deserves one-star.

Neither of them are the sharpest tool in the shed.

Notice how they are still lying about knowing physics.

Notice also after being shown multiple published references, including one of best textbooks on mechanics today acclaimed by hundred of physicists (I provided both the reference and an Amazon link to the reviews of the textbook) they continue lying.

E.g. Eric excusing now Hobba "He is confused because nobody uses generalized potential energy."

But Hobba himself lying now again. Now he find a introductory book on string theory and next will claim he know string theory!

They're just young kids, not worth your time.

100% agree.

Re: Bunch of corrections (multipart message)

Re: Bunch of corrections (multipart message)

Jenny

Cheers.

.