Re: Photons

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007−08/msg00833.html

- *From*: John Kennaugh <JKNG@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Fri, 10 Aug 2007 12:50:27 +0100

PD wrote:

> On Aug 9, 3:52 am, John Kennaugh
> <J...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
>
> > That is sloppy thinking. In classical electrodynamics circa Maxwell a
> > 'field' was a physical stress in the aether. Action−at−a−distance force
> > between charges was transferred via this stress and the stress could
> > propagate through the aether at c in the form of light. Despite the fact
> > that relativity owes its existence to Einstein's belief in some sort of
> > aether, the concept of the aether has become highly unfashionable
> > resulting in the almost universal acceptance of the 'no aether
> > doctrine'. The fallout of this is that while physicists still talk in
> > terms of 'fields' the word no longer has a meaning.
>
> It is true that the term "field" means something different than it
> originally did. That does not mean that it is now without meaning.

I would be obliged if you would explain what meaning it now has.

> PD

−−
John Kennaugh

.