

Re: O'Barr comments to the net.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-08/msg00921.html>

- *From:* Tom Roberts <tjroberts137@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 11 Aug 2007 17:11:34 GMT
-

Gerald L. O'Barr wrote:

Errors being made by SR experts.
and: Types of SR measurements.

Those threads are full of nonsense. <shrug>

These posts have ended with the following facts
being accepted: SR math does not require us to
say that the speed of light is really c in every
inertial frame.

Your notion of "accepted" is ridiculous. I don't know why you try to discuss "SR math", but SR most definitely predicts that the speed of light in vacuum will be measured to be c in any inertial frame, when measured with standard clocks and rulers at rest in the frame. I have no idea what you mean by "really c ", but there is an unambiguous prediction for MEASUREMENTS, which is amply confirmed by actual experiments.

I remind you that science and physics are about measurements and theories thereof, not what you might think is "really happening".

In fact, the only thing that any
SR expert should say [...]

You have no understanding of SR, and should not attempt to dictate to "SR experts" what they should or should not say.

Some good things are about to begin on this net.
We are going to see, for the first time, a change in
our basic science. [...]

Re: O'Barr comments to the net.

This is nonsense. You have been predicting for over a decade that your personal vision of LET will somehow "replace SR". You have made not an iota of progress on that over the past decade, and the coming decade will almost certainly be no different.

Tom Roberts