

Re: Note to all the world.

Re: Note to all the world.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-09/msg00978.html>

- *From:* "Gerald L. O'Barr" <globarr@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 11 Sep 2007 12:46:41 -0700
-

Subject: Re: Note to all the world.

David A. Smith (dlzc) <dl...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Gerald L. O'Barr <globarr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Note to all the world.

...

David A. Smith (dlzc) <dl...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Dear Gerald L. O'Barr:

O'Barr wrote:

Let me tell you all: SR math is exactly the same as LET math. This is absolutely true, and there is nothing you will ever be able to do about it. You will forever have LET upon you, for every second that you live. And if you ignore this, it will be to your everlasting shame.

David A. Smith (dlzc) <dl...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

You will be excited to know then, that SR and LET have been experimentally falsified when significant mass is present. So we are henceforth forever free of your ranting, since both SR and LET only have a limited range of applicability. Otherwise, you will be everlastingly shamed whenever you bring up this topic.

O'Barr comments:

Re: Note to all the world.

Re: Note to all the world.

Are you trying to escape all this? I notice that you did not address any of the issues. You have tried to change the subject. Why are you trying to escape? You just do not want to talk about SR, you just want to talk about GR? With GR, you think you are safe?

Look, brother Smith, in the domain where SR is valid (where LET is valid), GR is SR, and SR is GR. They are the same. Any weakness for one of these in that domain is a weakness for the other. Thus, if we find that SR math cannot support 4-D in this domain, then neither can GR math support 4-D in this domain. I am sorry if this bothers you, but Einstein especially made GR to be SR in this domain.

Let us repeat this again: If we are able to establish that the rates of clocks are really based upon their absolute velocities, then that forces GR to also accept this to be valid, in the domain where SR applies. If we are able to clearly show that only 3-D exists in this domain, then GR has to only be 3-D in this domain. If we are able to prove that the speed of light is not c in every frame, then GR must also conclude this same fact, in the domain where SR applies. There is nothing that can prevent all this from being correct.

So yes, let us take a look at GR, and also see and understand that GR is itself no different than SR, in that it is only a math theory, and the physical interpretations for GR are totally unspecified by the theory. GR does not have to be a real 4-D reality, no more so than SR. It is only the math that is seen to be easily worked in 4-D. That is all it is, just as it is in SR.

David A. Smith (dlzc) wrote:

Now, can you move past 1905, into some later years please?

O'Barr comments:

I sure agree with this! We need to move into a new age, at least into 2007, which is good enough for me. We today now know more than Einstein knew, and more than Lorentz. And we can understand both of them. And together, with them, and with what we now know, we can now do even better.

Thanks for reading.

Gerald L. O'Barr <globarr...@xxxxxxxxxx>

Re: Note to all the world.

Re: Note to all the world.