

Re: How did Einstein get published?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-09/msg02448.html>

- *From:* "Juan R." <juanrgonzaleza@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 29 Sep 2007 02:09:52 -0700
-

On Sep 28, 2:44 pm, "Dirk Van de moortel" <dirkvandemoor...@ThankS-NO-SperM.hotmail.com> wrote:

[snip what was probably a repeat of the previous rant]

After giving you a second try you refuse AGAIN comment on ArXiv, Nature, and Physics today references I introduce, and you just delete they AGAIN...

You also refuse AGAIN to comment that Einstein SR was published without peer-reviewing (Randy said the contrary without proof and you complained with him).

You also prefer to ignore AGAIN that first time an Einstein's paper was peer-reviewed he got a strong rejection because its paper was wrong as proven by the referee.

Note for Bilge, Bill Hobba, Dono (once Karandash2), Eric Gisse, and Tim Shuba]

Kindly add me to the list. Thanks.

No problem Dirk, done. I also reformed the manifesto to fit your overlook of references contradicting you.

Dirk Vdm

=====
Note for readers]

Because some past episodes of flamming in sci.physics.relativity, both comments in my blog and my newsgroup e-mail are disabled.

Re: How did Einstein get published?

Note for Bilge, Bill Hobba, Dono (once Karandash2), Eric Gisse, Dir Van der moortel, and Tim Shuba]

Avoid to reply this message. The capacity of any human for correcting your endless conceptual nonsenses and foolish mathematical mistakes is, unfortunately, just finite. Also my occupations do not include to teach you to read others, not to teach you dimensional analysis or even pre-university physics.

Since you will be sanely ignored here in thereafter you are open to misread, misquote, or misinterpret me in any way you want, specially when that adds some light to your grey existence. You are open to write any triviality; to invent any mistake I did not really did. You can cite discredited, outdated, or wrong references. You can manipulate, misread, or ignore references contradicting you. You are also open to address any insult you consider supports your points and you can, of course, extend your insults to any poster, institution, colleague, friend, theories, or journal discrediting you.

You can also try to falsify ratings, voting against me dozens or several hundred of times simulating different people. You can use the same dishonest tactic for increasing the rating of your akins.

.