

Re: JCON's physics degree says $qE(1 + v^2/c^2)$ is made up equation!

Re: JCON's physics degree says $qE(1 + v^2/c^2)$ is made up equation!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-10/msg00333.html>

- *From:* "guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <guskz@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 05 Oct 2007 07:21:12 -0700
-

On Oct 5, 10:09 am, "gu...@xxxxxxxxxxxx" <gu...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

at:<http://groups.google.com/group/sci.physics.relativity/msg/b3adcd1867e...>

I write:

"> we are solely using the equation $F = q(E + v \times B) = qE(1 + v^2/c^2)$ to determine the distance in BOTH FRAMES."

JCON replies:

" It looks like you got the dimensions right, which is pretty good for around here, but except for that, it's just a made up equation."

I hope you don't cause a radiation explosion over there.

Well everyone $qE(1 + v^2/c^2)$ is a made up equation. And please do not worry they always reply they ment something else afterwards.

And the conclusion given to him was:

Quote:

And as well time dilation is proportional to gamma which is " $\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ " where as above the equation is proportional to " v^2/c^2 ", thus time dilation will not incorporate this discrepancy, nor will length contraction (because it's perpendicular to the motion, as mentioned above).