

# Re: "Evidence of the Existence of the Aether"

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-10/msg00577.html>

---

- *From:* "harry" <[harald.vanlintelButNotThis@xxxxxxx](mailto:harald.vanlintelButNotThis@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 10 Oct 2007 12:25:28 +0200
- 

"Gerald L. O'Barr" <[globarr@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:globarr@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
<news:1191967205.984092.270360@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: "Evidence of the Existence of the Aether"

[...]

And H.E. Retic says:

... Modern Physics seems to have been taken over  
by mathematical idiot savants who lack an  
appreciation for the implications of mechanism and  
strive to suppress the contributions of those who  
would dare to say "Hey, wait a minute"

O'Barr comments:

I well understand his feelings. There really is  
full justification for what this man feels!

And you're not alone! Quite some modern physicists are not satisfied with  
theories of physics that are devoid of physical explanations, as is  
demonstrated by the extensive discussions about "realism" in QM. Already in  
1921 Sir Oliver Lodge commented in Nature:

"It is undeniable that mathematicians, with a self-denying ordinance about  
coefficients, can thus attain remarkable criteria, and are able to  
anticipate definite results; but we need not seek to engraft their modes of  
expression on the real world of physics."

And he complained about the attitude of "relativists" concerning MMX:

"A relativist, not caring in the least which or whether either of these sets  
of measurements has any absolute meaning [...]. Hence everybody should be  
satisfied, without any appeal to, or confirmation of, any physical theory  
whatever. The null (or dull) result of the experiment now requires no  
explanation"

Re: "Evidence of the Existence of the Aether"

Regards,  
Harald