

Re: calculus in the curve space theorem 3

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2007-11/msg00033.html>

- *From:* Joro <g.g.kanev@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 01 Nov 2007 04:59:00 -0700
-

On 31 :B, 12:29, sal <pragmat...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Why did you repost your message without replying to responses first?
Anyway, here's my reply again.

On Tue, 30 Oct 2007 23:23:18 -0700, caoyanwh2003 wrote:

Cao's theorem 3

From when $x \neq 0$ there are $\sin x = x$, $e^x - 1 = x$, $\ln(1+x) = x$,
 $(1+x)^0 - 1 = 0x$, we

can conclude follow theorem
1, $\int \sin dx = dx$

$$4 \int \sin dx = \int dx dx = 1$$

As written, that's wrong. The integral of an infinitesimal value is zero. You've got the integral of dx^2 :

$$\int (dx)^2$$

and that's zero, not 1, over any finite interval of integration.

To see this more clearly, look at the limit which defines the (Riemann) integral, taking the integral of $(dx)^2$ from "a" to "b":

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \right]$$

The summands are all the same value, so we can replace the sum with multiplication by the number of terms in the sum:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[n * \left(\frac{(b-a)}{n} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multiplying out, that's:

Re: calculus in the curve space theorem 3

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(b-a)^{2/n}]$$

and that's certainly zero.

Of course your whole notion of infinitesimals seems to be very half-baked, as well. It's never the case that "sin dx = dx" for nonzero dx. Rather, if dx is infinitesimal, then $\sin(dx) - dx \sim dx^2$. That is, in simple terms, the difference between sin(dx) and dx is doubly infinitesimal, but it's never zero, save when $dx = 0$.

$$2, 5 \text{ } e^{dx} - 1 = dx$$

$$4 \text{ } +(e^{dx} - 1)dx = +dx \cdot dx = 1$$

$$3, 5 \text{ } \ln(1+dx) = dx$$

$$4 \text{ } +\ln(1+dx)dx = +dx \cdot dx = 1$$

$$4, 5 \text{ } (1+dx)^0 - 1 = 0 \cdot dx$$

$$4 \text{ } +[(1+dx)^0 - 1]dx = +0 \cdot dx \cdot dx = 0 + dx \cdot dx = 0$$

These all can show even if a very tiny digital such as dx in the integral formula, we can't deal it with 0 and then calculate them again, that is incorrect. Because even if a very tiny digital such as dx^0 , as after we calculate the integral formula, it is a number that can't be ignored. The 4 can explain it throughly. 2007-10-31

--

Nospam becomes physicsinsights to fix the email

I can be also contacted through <http://www.physicsinsights.org> - !:@820=5 =0 F8B8@0=8O

B5:AB –

– >:0720=5 =0 F8B8@0=8O B5:AB –

What about case when dx and $\sin x$ like a function cannot express themselves in numerical expression at the same time? In other words for instance if the dx inclines to zero more quickly than the function $\sin x$ itself, than what...?

The answer is the so call belated functions. I recommend You to see USM www.kanevuniverse.com and especially partI, partII and pages 198 to 202. Thank You!

.