

Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

## Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-02/msg00064.html>

---

- *From:* "Jeckyl" <noone@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Fri, 1 Feb 2008 22:39:40 +1100
- 

"rbwinn" <rbwinn3@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
<news:5b9c1906-f552-47b0-88f1-196ef613623b@xx>

On Feb 1, 3:46 am, "Jeckyl" <no...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"rbwinn" <rbwi...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

<news:f99bfa92-c08d-4c70-84d1-1a39bc16c8f9@xx>

On Jan 31, 10:22 pm, "Jeckyl" <no...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"rbwinn" <rbwi...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in  
message

<news:15db2cdc-e25f-439a-955d-434e2ed742cf@xx>

Well, Jeckyl, since you say  
you have proven me wrong  
so many times,  
go  
right ahead.

Why bother .. you are too stupid to  
understand it. And it's been done  
so  
many  
times .. there is little entertainment value left  
in it. Just take it  
as  
read that I have disproved them, and then (as

Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

always) you can just  
ignore  
it  
and keep to your irrational beliefs.

I am too stupid to understand?

Yes .. that was clear from your previous posts.

[snip more stupidity from ignorant rb]

Well, another scientist who does not want to discuss time. Who would have thought it?

I have 'discussed' time, and many subjects, with you many many times before ... you are simply too ignorant and stupid for there to be any worthwhile goal in doing so .. why do you think I'd want to subject myself to the frustration of trying to 'discuss' science again with an uneducated moron like you who doesn't have a clue what he is talking about. I doubt anyone else will be interesting in your misguided ramblings.

.