

Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

## Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-02/msg00315.html>

---

- *From:* rbwinn <[rbwinn3@xxxxxxxx](mailto:rbwinn3@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 3 Feb 2008 15:49:44 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Feb 3, 2:37 pm, "Androcles" <[Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"Randy Poe" <[poespam-t...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:poespam-t...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

[news:39b6c103-24a1-46aa-9033-12f327094643@xx](mailto:news:39b6c103-24a1-46aa-9033-12f327094643@xx)

On Feb 3, 10:06 am, rbwinn <[rbwi...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:rbwi...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Feb 2, 9:49pm, "Jeckyl" <[no...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:no...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"rbwinn" <[rbwi...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:rbwi...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

[news:02548927-0de7-4e82-a583-54b204528643@xx](mailto:news:02548927-0de7-4e82-a583-54b204528643@xx)

On Feb 2, 7:12?pm, "Jeckyl"  
<[no...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:no...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"rbwinn"  
<[rbwi...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:rbwi...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote  
in message

[news:666a63c9-3227-4657-af7d-16466d133224@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:666a63c9-3227-4657-af7d-16466d133224@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

On Feb 2,  
6:30?pm,

Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

"Jeckyl"

<no...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

We  
know  
you  
don't  
think.  
That's  
you're  
problem.  
?That  
is  
why  
there  
is  
no  
point  
in  
explaining  
the  
problems  
to  
someone  
who  
is  
simply  
incapable  
of  
understanding  
the  
math  
and  
the  
physics  
involved—  
Hide  
quoted  
text

—

—

Show  
quoted  
text

—

Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?

Well, I do  
understand  
the  
principle of  
equivalence.

No .. you don't. ?You have  
shown you clearly have  
nowhere near the  
knowledge  
of physics or math required  
to talk about what you ar  
etalking about.

The principle of equivalence shows that if a  
baseball is put in orbit  
around the earth at the altitude of the moon,  
it will have the same  
velocity as the moon.

There you go .. you have shown you have no idea what the  
principle of  
equivalence is at all .. thanks for the demonstration

Well, OK, Jeckl. So you believe that a baseball in orbit around the  
earth at the altitude of the moon would have a different velocity than  
the moon. What would be the velocity of the baseball?

No, he didn't say that. Can you read?

And you said you were not a troll. Can you read, fuckhead?– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Profanity is the attempt of a weak mind to make a strong statement.  
Jeckyl, Randy seems to be saying that the moon and a baseball in orbit  
around the earth at the same altitude would be traveling at the same  
speed. Are scientists about to make a decision about this?  
Remember, Aristotle said a bigger rock would fall faster.  
Robert B. Winn

Re: Are there any civil anti-relativists?