

Re: why relativists believes in bigbang out of nothing?

Re: why relativists believes in bigbang out of nothing?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-02/msg01111.html>

- *From:* lithium@xxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Mon, 11 Feb 2008 18:15:37 -0800 (PST)
-

On Feb 10, 10:14 pm, "Androcles" <Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"xxein" <xx...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

news:7dcab5a0-889c-43e6-94d2-da4bbaecc021@xx

On Feb 10, 4:11 pm, spoonbender <iw2...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 10, 8:29 pm, durgesh2...@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

No body said "nothing".
Try reading good references.
No body said "nothing", they just don't know what exactly
happen
before the bang.
But that doesn't matter to know the event after it.
So its not much of a concern right now.

are you kidding us?

your "before" involves time

what time are talkin about before
bigbang or whatever?

xxein: Do you have an end with no beginning?

Geez you stupid shit.

Re: why relativists believes in bigbang out of nothing?

I used to have a problem with this too. The whole thing without causality etc. It's more probable that the creation of our universe gives us the perspective of time beginning for that universe. It's not necessarily the beginning of time per se just our perspective of time.

Take a look at these other series of postings if you want to see a possible explanation.

space: gravity's effect on time and expansion?

.