

# Re: why relativists believes in bigbang out of nothing?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-02/msg01129.html>

---

- *From:* "Androcles" <[Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 12 Feb 2008 10:50:48 GMT
- 

<[lithium@xxxxxxxx](mailto:lithium@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:704bbca0-3181-45d8-8ab5-accbbb27dae@xx](mailto:news:704bbca0-3181-45d8-8ab5-accbbb27dae@xx)  
| On Feb 11, 8:14 pm, "Androcles" <[Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
|> <[lith...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:lith...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
|>  
|>  
[news:a3915f68-f568-4717-9ee3-300662b8b3cc@xx](mailto:news:a3915f68-f568-4717-9ee3-300662b8b3cc@xx)  
|> | On Feb 11, 7:28 pm, "Androcles" <[Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:|

<[lith...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:lith...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

|>  
|> |>  
|> |

[news:8f333e60-90b1-41de-8cb7-cc27c9848c3a@xx](mailto:news:8f333e60-90b1-41de-8cb7-cc27c9848c3a@xx)

|> |> | On Feb 10, 10:14 pm, "Androcles" <[Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Headmas...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

|> |> |> "xxein" <[xx...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:xx...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
|> |> |>  
|> |> |  
|>

[news:7dcab5a0-889c-43e6-94d2-da4bbaecc021@xx](mailto:news:7dcab5a0-889c-43e6-94d2-da4bbaecc021@xx)

|> |> |> On Feb 10, 4:11 pm, spoonbender <[iw2...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:iw2...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

|> |> |>  
|> |> |>> On Feb 10, 8:29 pm, durgesh2...@xxxxxxxx wrote:  
|> |> |>  
|> |> |>>> No body said "nothing".  
|> |> |>>> Try reading good references.  
|> |> |>>> No body said "nothing", they just don't know what exactly  
happen  
|> |> |>>> before the bang.  
|> |> |>>> But that doesn't matter to know the event after it.  
|> |> |>>> So its not much of a concern right now.

Re: why relativists believes in bigbang out of nothing?

|> |> |>  
|> |> |>> are you kidding us?  
|> |> |>  
|> |> |>> your "before" involves time  
|> |> |>  
|> |> |>> what time are talkin about before  
|> |> |>> bigbang or whatever?  
|> |> |>  
|> |> |> xxein: Do you have an end with no beginning?  
|> |> |>  
|> |> |> Geez you stupid shit.  
|> |> |  
|> |> |  
|> |> | I used to have a problem with this too.The whole thing without  
|> |> | causality etc.Its more probable that the creation of our universe  
|> |> |  
|> |> | What "creation"?  
|> |> | Are you another god freak? A wanker that assumes a beginning  
|> |> | and no end, forever and ever amen?  
|> |> |  
|> |> | No not that way at all.  
|> |> | The creation  
|> |> |  
|> |> | What creation?  
|> |> |  
|> |> | The Big Bang, Inflation theory.

What big bang?

| And yes universes probably die of heat  
| death but that might not be the only thing determining the life time  
| of the universe.

What life time?

Look, son, if you are going to make absurd assumptions you should at least have some evidence for supposing them. What evidence do you have that a single atom of hydrogen ever had a birth, a life or a death? Answer: NONE.

Yet here you are, trying to tell me that the entire universe has a beginning, a life span and an ending, or even worse, \*probably\* does, where "probably" simply means your personal prejudice based on your anthropomorphic notions that inanimate objects behave like great apes.

Who do you think you are fooling? You are probably one of the sheep, when one say "baa" they all do, including you.

<http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/SR.GIF>

.

Re: why relativists believes in bigbang out of nothing?