

Re: invariance of negative signature of the metric?

## Re: invariance of negative signature of the metric?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-03/msg00890.html>

---

- *From:* "Ken S. Tucker" <dynamics@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 8 Mar 2008 15:42:53 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Mar 8, 1:41 pm, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 8, 11:28 am, "Ken S. Tucker" <dynam...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 8, 7:42 am, Tom Roberts <tjroberts...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Ken S. Tucker wrote:

when I suggested General  
Covariance enables a signature choice,  
to unchain the brains, I got yelled at.

In pseudo-Riemannian geometry one must ALWAYS  
select a sign convention  
that fixes the signature of the metric (actually this is also true  
in  
Riemannian geometry, but nobody picks a signature of ---  
so the issue  
never arises in practice).

Dr. Tucker shall use advanced apparatus

You do not have a doctorate in anything.

I minimize paperwork my boy, them who  
needs to know do, and "E"ric you don't  
need to know. Now you and Tom go play  
with you're signatures...cuty pies.  
Ken

Re: invariance of negative signature of the metric?