

# Re: What is Proper Time?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-03/msg02049.html>

---

- *From:* The Ghost In The Machine <ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sun, 23 Mar 2008 09:09:05 -0700
- 

In sci.physics.relativity, Androcles  
<Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote  
on Sun, 23 Mar 2008 13:56:18 GMT  
<6ktFj.96718\$nw4.12807@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>:

"The Ghost In The Machine" <ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:trhhb5-f24.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:trhhb5-f24.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

| In sci.physics.relativity, Androcles  
| <Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
| wrote  
| on Sun, 23 Mar 2008 05:33:35 GMT  
| <PYIFj.312648\$3m6.3663@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>:  
| >

| > "The Ghost In The Machine" <ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in  
message

| > [news:fk3hb5-ca5.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:fk3hb5-ca5.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

| > | In sci.physics.relativity, HW@....(Dr. Henri Wilson)

| > | <HW@>

| > | wrote

| > | on Sun, 23 Mar 2008 02:56:48 GMT

| > | <57hbu3d0ceeufiq3gc26nlqlnqv1gu4oi4@xxxxxxx>:

| > | > On Sat, 22 Mar 2008 10:00:23 -0700, The Ghost In The Machine

| > | > <ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

| > | >

| > | >>In sci.physics.relativity, HW@....(Dr. Henri Wilson)

| > | >><HW@>

| > | >> wrote

| > | >

| > | >>>>I take it frequency does not change, then. Very well; your system  
is

| > | >>>>compatible with Androcles'.

| > | >>>

| > | >>> Please never say that.

| > | >>

| > | >>Why? Your system is perfectly compatible with Androcles if both are

| > | >>positing invariant frequency.

| > | >>

Re: What is Proper Time?

|> |>>> However it is likely that if and when a photon changes length,  
|> |>>> its intrinsic frequency also changes.  
|> |>>  
|> |>>It is \*REQUIRED\* if the photon does not change speed. This is  
because  
|> |>>speed = wavelength \* frequency.  
|> |>  
|> |> That's according to classical wave theory.  
|> |> It doesn't apply to light, which is particulate and ballistic.  
|> |>  
|> |>  
|> |>>>> Any large mass has an accompanying 'EM control sphere' around it  
|> |>>>>  
|> |>>>>And the size of this sphere is ... ?  
|> |>>>  
|> |>>> I haven't looked into that.  
|> |>>  
|> |>>You should. Ideally, one would express the sphere using a  
|> |>>combination of mass and star luminosity. If necessary,  
|> |>>one might include the spin, motion, and radiation  
|> |>>flux/wavelength (or frequency) as well. It may also not  
|> |>>be quite a sphere; the Earth, after all, is an oblate spheroid  
|> |>>(a "squashed ball").  
|> |>  
|> |> Moreso in the case of close binary pairs....  
|> |>  
|> |>  
|> |> Close binary pairs introduce further distortions.  
|>  
|> There are none. Whilst binaries DO exist (Sirius, for example), close  
|> binaries cannot.  
|> <http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/Algol/Algol.htm>  
|> Basic physics.  
|>  
|>  
|> So Algol is a single star with a massive planet. Very good.

Yes, and I am the discoverer. The planet is called 'Androcles'.  
Delta-Cepheus is also a single star with a massive planet, very good.  
The planet is named "Cassandra".

OK. You'll want to publish your findings in a good peer-reviewed  
journal. I'd also contact reputable scientific news sources.  
This is, after all, an important discovery worthy of widespread  
adulation, the solution to the Demon Star that has perplexed scientists  
for millennia. Scientists from all over the world will of course want  
to exhume and reinterpret such things as spectroscopic data.

<http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/383195>  
and

Re: What is Proper Time?

<http://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/0401583>

in particular will have to be explained somehow.  
The explanation stinks, of course, since there is no  
Algol B, but somehow the instrument is detecting an odd  
Doppler shift.

<http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/375837>

also assumes an Algol B, attempting to explain various spectral  
anomalies related to C and N lines.

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1968SvA....11..828G>

starts out entirely wrong, but shows some interesting  
graphs on page 830. These graphs show shifts in the  
spectra caused by the Planet Androcles in its orbit.

As you can see, the mainstream scientific media are  
entirely on the wrong track for some reason. Expect  
resistance.

| You now get to determine/calculate the mass of the star  
| and the planet, cross-correlate it with known stellar  
| characteristics (stars of certain masses have certain  
| spectra), and parallax measurements.  
|  
| Or not, as the spirit moves you. But don't expect us to do  
| your homework.

I do not expect a resentful useless crank like you to do anything.  
The "time" required by light to travel from hadron A to hadron B  
equals the "time" it requires to travel from hadron B to hadron A'

NO IT DOESN'T. Not if the TWLS observed by a third party, moving  
with respect to the experiment.

because "the velocity of light in your theory plays the part, physically,  
of an infinitely great velocity."  
You now get to determine/calculate the masses of the hadrons.  
Or not, as the spirit moves you, but don't expect me to do your  
homework, crank.

The hadrons have a mass of  $1.672621637 * 10^{-27}$  kg or 938.272013 MeV,  
according to conventional scientific thought.

Re: What is Proper Time?

Re: What is Proper Time?

---

#191, ewill3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Windows. Multi-platform(1), multi-tasking(1), multi-user(1).

(1) if one defines "multi" as "exactly one".

---

Posted via a free Usenet account from <http://www.teranews.com>

.