

## Re: Circular motion in SR

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-03/msg02270.html>

---

- *From:* PD <TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 26 Mar 2008 05:32:20 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Mar 25, 11:28 pm, rbwinn <rbwi...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 25, 6:21<sup>y</sup>am, PD <TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 25, 6:37<sup>y</sup>am, rbwinn <rbwi...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

In case it's new to you, it's not the first time there's been a screw-up:  
[http://www.windows.ucar.edu/tour/link=/mars/space\\_missions.html&edu=high](http://www.windows.ucar.edu/tour/link=/mars/space_missions.html&edu=high)

¿So where did you get the information that someone was using meters instead of feet, or whatever you said happened? ¿That sounds a little suspect to me.

It was in the newspapers. Of course, they all lie too. Massive coverup and all.

Well, newspapers do lie. ¿They are controlled by political party politics. ¿So which political party controlled the newspaper you read?  
Robert B. Winn

Re: Circular motion in SR

Sorry, I thought we were talking about physics. If you think all of physics is a conspiracy, take it up at alt.conspiracy.

It does not rise to the level of conspiracy. People lie because it is expected of them. The public has to be protected against hearing the truth.

Robert B. Winn

Eh?

I didn't get any of that.

People lie because it is expected of them? Why?

The public has to be protected against hearing the truth? Why?

Are you teetering over the edge there, Winn?

PD

.