

# Re: New version of a relativity FAQ

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-06/msg01267.html>

---

- *From:* "Pmb" <[peter.m.brown@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:peter.m.brown@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 25 Jun 2008 10:15:13 -0400
- 

"Pmb" <[peter.m.brown@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:peter.m.brown@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:3PmdnUbl-c1H0v\\_VnZ2dnUVZ\\_uydnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:3PmdnUbl-c1H0v_VnZ2dnUVZ_uydnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Daryl McCullough" <[stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:g3tdh70176h@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:g3tdh70176h@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Pmb says...

Tom Roberts wrote on Wed, 25 Jun 2008  
08:18:52 +0200:

Because that theory is, in  
essence,  
geometrical, regardless of  
whether or not there are  
other  
interpretations of it.

Nope. Even Einstein himself disagreed with that kind of  
thinking!

What Tom said is correct. General Relativity can be interpreted as  
a geometrical theory of gravity. He didn't say that that was the  
\*only\* interpretation, or that it was Einstein's interpretation.

That is not what Tom said. He said \*is\* in essence geometrical. His later  
assertion "regardless of whether or not there are other interpretations of  
it." implies that he is saying that there can be no other interpretations.  
He is of course wrong. There are more than one interpretations. I believe  
that what Einstein said is correct.

Re: New version of a relativity FAQ

Anyway, I wish people would stop invoking Einstein, or Feynman,