

Re: New version of a relativity FAQ

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-06/msg01385.html>

- *From:* stevendaryl3016@xxxxxxxxxx (Daryl McCullough)
 - *Date:* 26 Jun 2008 09:16:24 -0700
-

Pmb says...

This is a good example of why I don't read/pay attention to Tom's comments. If $2+2 = 4$ in 1948 then just because its 2008 there is no reason to suspect that $2+2$ does not equal 4.

In this case Einstein's arguement is far from being time dependant since none of the material in his arguement would hinge on such arguements

That's wrong. The understanding of General Relativity has *advanced* since 1948. Yes, if Einstein was stating a mathematical theorem, then no, it would still be a theorem today (although it is very likely that it would be formulated in different language). But Einstein's remarks were not of the character of a mathematical theorem.

--

Daryl McCullough
Ithaca, NY

.