

## Re: Are \*observed\* SR effects real?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-07/msg00483.html>

---

- *From:* Shubee <[e.Shubee@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:e.Shubee@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 7 Jul 2008 09:11:31 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Jul 7, 10:35 am, PD <[TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

The absence of an absolute and undetectable frame of reference is not one of Einstein's postulates. Do you need the postulates reiterated for you? Be sure you don't confuse a postulate from what you consider an implication of a postulate; that would be the equivalent to confusing an axiom with a theorem.

The original relativity theory of Poincaré and Lorentz most certainly agreed with the constancy of light postulate and affirmed the undetectability of any absolute frame of reference. Since the original theory of relativity is self-consistent, the theorem in Einsteinian relativity, wherever it came from, that there is no absolute frame of reference, is either false or a hidden third metaphysical postulate.

Shubee

<http://www.everythingimportant.org/relativity/special.pdf>

.