

Re: massless or massive photon?

Re: massless or massive photon?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-07/msg01153.html>

- *From:* Eric Gisse <jowr.pi@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 13 Jul 2008 14:10:01 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Jul 13, 5:35 am, "Pmb" <physics_wo...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Juan R. González-Álvarez" <juanREM...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in [message:pan.2008.07.13.11.15.33@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:pan.2008.07.13.11.15.33@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Eric Gisse wrote on Sat, 12 Jul 2008 13:24:46 -0700:

On Jul 12, 7:20 am, "Juan R." González-Álvarez <juanREM...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

[snip]

See this is what happen when you think you know physics :-)

I was wrong on a subtle technical point. It happens.

Subtle? You call a major misunderstanding of the Lagrangian subtle? Thinking that $L = T - U$ works in relativity is far from being subtle. Its a serious misunderstanding

Yet neither you or Juan could explain why it was wrong. If you want me to persist in believing that I'm right and your not, the quickest way to doing that is sit there and shrilly shriek about how wrong I am and then refuse to explain yourself.

Like Daryl said, bad faith arguing.

Re: massless or massive photon?

Re: massless or massive photon?

Yes, I didn't know/completely forgot that the Lagrangian has to be quadratic in the generalized velocities for that to hold – that's my mistake, but still not a large as one as you want to believe. However, both you and Juan are still intellectually dishonest people who do not argue fairly. You treat it like it is a contest, rather than as a chance to learn.

No, it was outrage mistake that nobody else did, except another crackpot:
Dono :-)

All you could do was stamp your feet and scream about how wrong I was.
When pressed you couldn't/wouldn't explain why.

Ha ha ha ha ha ha ha

I predicted in a previous post to Pete you would try some lye as this when you could not hide your mistake more and... look and I was *right*..

Jesus it is "lie", lye is sodium hydroxide.

I'm waiting for him to post his *derivation*. IF you'd like I can e-mail the dervation to you so you can compare it with Eric's sure to be mistake? I'd post it here but I want to see if Eric can do it himself.

Pete

Why should I? Neither of you are interesting in learning – you are just looking for another opportunity to crow. If I get it wrong in any way, you got what you are looking for. If I get it right, you simply claim I "copied it from a textbook" to save face.

Re: massless or massive photon?