

Re: Is there length contraction in SRT, uncle Ben?

Re: Is there length contraction in SRT, uncle Ben?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-07/msg02965.html>

- *From:* "Ben Bean" <kenscrapthisvicki@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 30 Jul 2008 14:01:28 -0400
-

Martin Hogbin wrote:

Ben Bean wrote:

[...] obvious, is the fact that Relativity stands up to every scrutiny. It's essence is the denial of the feasibility of a God's-Eye View of our universe.

Only in Galilean space and time. In Minkowski spacetime there is no problem

Martin Hogbin

No lacking of a God's-Eye View in Minkowski??? I know a smidgeon of what you speak and I have to refute your claim. According to one observer, he sees a distant star that is soon to meet a violent demise; while another observer sees only a blank area where that star used to be. Both views are correct, so there's no master 'actuality' (for lack of a better term). The Minkowski construct cannot possibly change that.

And I do note well that you refer to the lacking (of a singular God's-Eye view) as a "problem". What Nature dictates is no problem. We exist just fine. Perhaps I mistook your reply