

Re: Is there length contraction in SRT, uncle Ben?

Re: Is there length contraction in SRT, uncle Ben?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-07/msg02971.html>

- *From:* "Dirk Van de moortel" <dirkvandemoortel@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 30 Jul 2008 23:14:22 +0200
-

xray4abc <lemhenyil@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
598941e5-830f-4dbe-bd43-b1956d920e3f@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

On Jul 30, 1:00 pm, "Dirk Van de moortel" <dirkvandemoor...@ThankS-NO-SperM.hotmail.com> wrote:

xray4abc <lemhen...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

752648d3-463a-41cf-87b5-cbb98d291...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

On Jul 30, 5:06 am, "Dirk Van de moortel"
<dirkvandemoor...@ThankS-NO-SperM.hotmail.com> wrote:

xray4abc <lemhen...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in
message

cfdeda6f-9219-4338-9a08-13086221f...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

What SRT does say about
length contraction?
Consider a rod along OX
axis be resting in IRF K and
moving in frame K .
Consider 2 observers in the
2 frames, measuring the
length of the rod, setting up
measurements at their will,
where they localize the
endpoints in a simultaneous

Re: Is there length contraction in SRT, uncle Ben?

manner, each in his frame.

In frame K :
Measured values are: X_1 ,
 X_2 in moments $T_1=T_2$
Calculated values, from
Lorentz transformations are
 X'_E and X'_H
which give $L = L * \Gamma$
where L is the calculated
length for K
and L is the measured (and
at the same time the proper)
length
of the object in frame K.
As $\Gamma > 1$
We get $L > L$ that is : the
length attributed to be valid
for frame K (the moving
frame) IS BIGGER than the
measured length
(that is the proper length)
(So far nothing new!)

Alas, you are completely wrong here.

If the rod is at rest in K ($T_1=T_2$), then it is
not at rest in K',
so the value $L = L * \Gamma$ is not the
calculated length for
K since the times of measurement not the
same and the
rod is *moving* in K'.

Then, what is it $L' = L * \Gamma$ by your opinion?
One uses simultaneous marking of the endpoints of the rod
no matter in which frame he is in.

When the thing is moving, you must use simultaneous measurements
to be able to subtract the distances and call it the "measured length".
When it is not moving, it doesn't matter whether the measurements
are simultaneous to subtract the distances and call it the "proper length".

Re: Is there length contraction in SRT, uncle Ben?

When you understand that, we can continue.
Do you understand that?

Dirk Vdm– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Yes, sir!
Regards, LL

Okay, so I guess you understand that for an object at rest in K (with proper length L), the quantity $L' = L \cdot \Gamma$ is as good as useless? It is the difference between the distances of the *moving* object's end points marked at different times :-)

Dirk Vdm

.