

# Re: transformation equations

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-09/msg01628.html>

---

- *From:* [papa\\_rios@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:papa_rios@xxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Mon, 22 Sep 2008 15:18:15 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On 22 sep, 17:30, "Spaceman" <space...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

papa\_r...@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On 22 sep, 17:06, "Spaceman" <space...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

papa\_r...@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

The other guy (Spaceman) says  $(-1)(-1) = (-1)$ . I would also ask him how would he solve this very trivial equation:

$$x^2 + 5x + 4 = 0$$

So you wish to just hand out an equation that does not even match any reality?  
Can you fit that equation to a real life situation?  
With your math but of course, but no reality to match such.  
The answer would be  $x = (-4)$  but no reality can prove such exists as an answer or even an equation that fits any reality.

But anyone can make silly equations that make the answer follow certain guidelines.  
Try this and it is even more simple than yours..  
 $x^2 = -16$ .  
Solve for x without using imaginary numbers.  
Then you will say.. it does not fit any reality.  
Oh but it does.  
It is how you "remove" a square from a piece of paper and the -16 is how many cubic inches are "missing".

## Re: transformation equations

Or do you think "removing" a 4 by 4 square makes 16 square inches appear on the paper?

I don't really care how much you want to play with imaginary bullshit but when it comes to "reality" and physics but you should start realizing imaginary numbers only bring about imaginary physics and imaginary equations also like yours..  
:)

So please explain when in real life would you multiply a positive number by a negative number ever? (like your  $5x$ ) would have to be.  
And don't forget, you have to prove the "negative" is not really a positive in another direction to prove the  $x$  is truly negative at all.  
:)

--  
James M Driscoll Jr  
Creator of the Clock Malfunction Theory  
Spaceman

So you are saying that the solution is  $x = -4$ , which for sure must be wrong according to your own malfunctioning rules (remember that you are stating that  $(-4)(-4) = -16$  ?).

Did you read or are you just playing ignorant?  
I said using your math method and I asked you to provide a real situation where such math would match reality.  
I see you won't do such.

So how, by using your own rules, you can affirm that the solution is  $x = -4$ ?  
According to your malfunctioning math, introducing  $x = -4$  in  $x^2 + 5x + 4 = 0$  gives  $(-4)(-4) + 5(-4) + 4 <> 0$ .

## Re: transformation equations

As I said.

You can not give a real example that your equation actually fits so I had to use your "silly" 2 negatives makes a positive rule to fit your silly "non real" equation.

And I see you ignored my equation completely since you can not do such without using imaginary bullshit math yet I could using my simple logic of "there are no real negatives".  
So please do inform all of where in real life do you multiply a negative (that is not just a positive in another direction) times a positive.

Again,

This is a physics group.

Provide the physical relations for your "math" or go back and play with your imaginary "physics" in the math group.

:)

--

James M Driscoll Jr  
Creator of the Clock Malfunction Theory  
Spaceman

You are the one with the malfunctioning brain, who is postulating the clock malfunctioning theory and who is also postulating a malfunctioning mathematics. So my question is how, using all your malfunctioning theories can we solve this trivial equation  $x^2 + 5x + 4 = 0$ , which according to you is not physical?

If you want to be taken seriously with your malfunctioning set of theories, then you should be able to educate us on how to solve these type of equations.

Miguel Rios

.