

Re: The "malfunctioning" clock theory

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-09/msg02045.html>

- *From:* papa_rios@xxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Thu, 25 Sep 2008 10:51:38 -0700 (PDT)
-

On 24 sep, 23:48, "Spaceman" <space...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

papa_r...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On 24 sep, 18:42, "Spaceman" <space...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

PD wrote:

If two odometers on cars traveling between Greenville and Columbia record different distances, then the odometer is malfunctioning, because the science of distance says that there is one distance between Greenville and Columbia, and if those two don't agree, then it is obvious that one of them is malfunctioning.

Wow,
You poor thing.
You really love to make up such bullshit and not even grasp how wrong it really is.
LOL

You truly can not grasp the science of using single standards for measurment.
You poor poor thing.
Wasted so much of your "time" ignoring science itself.
LOL

Re: The "malfunctioning" clock theory

Do you know anything about science?

Yes

We don't think you do.

That is probably because you have no clue how clocks work and never actually learned classical physics.

If you do you could explain how a phasor $A \exp(i(\omega t + \phi))$ represents both imaginary numbers and clearly debunks your $(-4)(-4) = -16$ theory.

Do you have any clue that all the imaginary crap you throw out proves you know even less about the physical than ever? Prove a real negative exists at all moron. Then prove imaginary numbers exist in reality. You are the one that has no clue about physics. And sadly you have no clue about "logic" based math either. since logic based math never needs an imaginary "base" to hold itself up on.

OK, so it is clear you don't have a clue about it. And for sure you don't understand how a clock works or else you would have presented the theory.

So you are a obviously a troll, with lot of time to waste in the internet and being happy to see how your nonsense and idiotic malfunctioning incoherences are discussed. What a sad and lonely world is that of yours.

Miguel Rios

.