

Re: precession of mercury

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2008-12/msg02356.html>

- *From:* Jerry <Cephalobus_alienus@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 28 Dec 2008 06:11:59 -0800 (PST)
-

On Dec 28, 4:55 am, hw@..(Dr. Henri Wilson) wrote:

On Sat, 27 Dec 2008 23:05:39 -0800 (PST), Jerry

<Cephalobus_alie...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Dec 27, 5:28 pm, hw@..(Dr. Henri Wilson) wrote:

On Sat, 27 Dec 2008 03:14:11 -0800 (PST), Jerry

How do you propose to arrange for the telescope to be pointed perpetually in one direction in space? You'd be surprised how much torque is exerted on the telescope due to the outer fringes of Earth's atmosphere, solar wind, light pressure, etc.

Hahaha! You are funny sometimes Crank.
How much atmosphere do you think there is at 36000 km?

Matter density equivalent to about 100 protons/cm³, roughly an order of magnitude denser than the interplanetary medium. Next question?

That will slow it down rather than cause it to rotate...if it is made properly.

I suppose you believe that a shuttlecock does not experience torque as it flies through the air?

Re: precession of mercury

I su