

## Re: Sliding Rod Experiment [PD investigates]

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2009-01/msg00297.html>

---

- *From:* PD <[TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 6 Jan 2009 09:04:21 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Jan 6, 10:31 am, "Strich.9" <[stich.9...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:stich.9...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jan 6, 11:23 am, papa\_r...@xxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On 6 ene, 11:55, "Strich.9" <[stich.9...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:stich.9...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

A meterstick is in isolation. What is its length?

Simple...and PD has answered several times that.

An object in total isolation, meaning without observers, rulers, or clocks (in other words without a reference frame), does not have a defined length.

Miguel Rios-

The sidekick attempts to help his fallen boss.

Ah, now let's recall that YOU invited others to respond to your question. Now that you have an independent response, you believe that we are in cahoots.

But please, it's YOUR bonfire of your vanities, please chuck whatever additional kindling onto it that you need.

Miguel, the stick had a length when I observed it. Does its length disappear when nobody is

Re: Sliding Rod Experiment [PD investigates]

looking? Does the stick disappear when nobody is looking?

Ladies and gentlemen, these are the simple contradictions that relativists are faced everyday. The disappearing length and stick...

Now, now, nobody said the length disappears. The contradictions are only in your own statements, which is not uncommon for relativity hacks.

.