

Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

# Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2009-01/msg01436.html>

---

- *From:* kenseto <kenseto@xxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 21 Jan 2009 08:23:14 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Jan 20, 10:41 pm, PD <TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jan 20, 7:36 pm, hw@..(Dr. Henri Wilson) wrote:

On Tue, 20 Jan 2009 12:11:38 -0800 (PST), PD  
<TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jan 18, 8:59 am, kenseto <kens...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jan 17, 5:59 pm, hw@..(Dr. Henri  
Wilson) wrote:

On Sat, 17 Jan 2009  
11:16:04 -0800 (PST),  
kenseto  
<kens...@xxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

On Jan 16,  
3:30 pm,  
hw@..(Dr.  
Henri  
Wilson)  
wrote:

Actually  
the  
vertical  
light  
beam

Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

remains  
vertical  
in  
the  
moving  
frame  
but  
moves  
sideways  
as  
a  
whole.  
Light  
poles  
don't  
lean  
over  
no  
matter  
how  
fast  
you  
drive  
past  
them.  
The  
claimed  
diagonal  
movement  
is  
a  
major  
fallacy  
used  
by  
Einstein.  
The  
error  
occurs  
because  
photons  
are  
wrongly  
regarded  
as  
tiny  
spheres.

Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

Henry  
I  
agree.  
Vertical  
light  
beam  
remains  
vertical  
in  
the  
moving  
frame

Right

as  
shown  
in  
the  
following  
link  
(page  
1  
and  
2):  
[http://www.geocities.com/kn\\_seto/2008irt.dtg.pdf](http://www.geocities.com/kn_seto/2008irt.dtg.pdf)  
That's  
why  
a  
cycle  
on  
a  
moving  
light  
clock  
takes  
longer  
time  
to  
complete.

Wrong.  
There  
is  
no

Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

aether.  
It  
takes  
the  
same  
time  
in  
all  
frames..

assertion is  
not a valid  
argument.

.....says the master of  
assertion...

I have a complete theory of physics with  
equations. Do you? Guess not.

Ken Seto

Gotta love Ken's style.  
"My theory has equations. So yours isn't a theory and mine  
is. Nyah."

At least he tries....which is more than any member of the EPG is capable of  
doing...

Well, you can root for the underdog if you wish, no matter how  
incompetent the underdog. If you'd rather root than to try to point  
out to the underdog how to become a little more competent, I'm sure  
there's plenty room for you to do that. You go ahead and fulfill your  
destiny in the peanut gallery.

ROTFLOL....this is PD's competency:

Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

Re: The Physics Behind 'Contractions'.

1. Directing the incoming light from a moving sodium source with a toilet paper tube instead of a telescope to the grating to measure its wavelength.
2. Observed closing velocity by the track observer will affect the isotropy of the speed of light in the train and thus affecting the simultaneity of events in the train. PD is not competent to know that the train observer must make his own conclusion based on his own measurements.
3. Insisted that from a fast moving muon at  $0.99c$  will see a lab muon decay at  $20 \mu s$  and claim that this has been confirmed experimentally.

Ken Seto

3.

PD– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

.