

Re: Experiment in Light–Space–Time Travel – Next Generation Michelson–Morley Experiment

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.relativity/2009–05/msg00335.html>

- *From:* Common World Inheritance Ambassador <shivastava.sunil@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 7 May 2009 10:29:27 –0700 (PDT)
-

Hello

Nothing is wrong with SR or MMX..the whole understanding of Space and it being confused with something mechanical or electro mechanical which can be dragged, change propagation of light, because of Earth's Drag – but is not and it is a correct observation.

Let me ask you a question, forgot about Ether, MMX.

How does light travel? The explanation should be using Fundamental Principles and just as a law.

If there is no Space, how will it travel? So what makes it interact with Space to travel.

If there is no Space, is the Reality there without Space?

Example, a stone and air trapped are sitting together, and water comes up, and we know what happens.

If the observer can only detect changes in Stone, and not see the Subtler bubbles or water, than is it wrong to say there is no Water or Bubbles?

To a distant observer, seeing light passing through Earth, how he would account for Gravitational Lensing?

Would he say this is phenomena is regards less of the direction of measurement of the phenomena vs. the direction of spin or connected?

I would say the correct answer is that the effect is not tied to directions of measurement or the spin, e.g. the bending would always take place regardless from where you observe light, so far the light passes earth – but the amount of bending may vary because of secondary interaction with the direction of spin. Like a buoyant object also exerting back on water but water still keeps the buoyancy going though varying.

Re: Experiment in Light–Space–Time Travel – Next Generation Michelson–Morley Experiment

So similarly, how the direction of the so called Space (Field/Current) and Earth's Spin connected to justify that there would be an addition to Speed of Light in one direction of motion of Earth and in other Transverse Direction it would not.

The whole argument to disprove the Electro Mechanical nature of Space is flawed. Lets just talk about the nature of Space. What if this is a field which we do not understand.

Like a Ego Centric Painter, we give credit to Self Skills, Brush, To Paints, but forget the Canvass..and take it for granted.

Though we talk about Space, we do not answer, what if Space was not there..will Light Travel? Will there be existence? My answer is No. So it must be something that is offering a medium for the rest grosser things to evolve, including EMF to travel.

So it may not be more subtler than EMF, but at least as fundamental as EMF?

.