

Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.research/2005-05/msg00287.html>

- *From:* "Souvik" <souvik1982@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 15 May 2005 07:04:59 +0000 (UTC)
-

Is state-vector reduction (or collapse of the wavefunction) a physical process? Or is it really an artefact of our procedure of canonical quantisation?

In the Feynman path integral approach to Quantum Theory, state-vector reduction doesn't seem to be a process any more than asking the question: What is the amplitude of going from a certain initial state to a final state?

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: Wave Function of the Universe?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Wave Function of the Universe?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Wave Function of the Universe?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Wave Function of the Universe?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Seratend
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Frank Hellmann
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Frank Hellmann
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**
◇ *From:* Baugh
 - ◆ **Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?**

Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?

◇ *From:* Arnold Neumaier

◆ ***Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?***

◇ *From:* Aaron Bergman

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Why Should We Quantize Geometry?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Why Should We Quantize Geometry?***
- Previous by thread: ***Black Holes and Antimatter...***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Is State Vector Reduction a 'Process'?***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***