

## Re: What is the history of relativity theory? (continuation of Poincare thread)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.research/2005-09/msg00422.html>

---

- *From:* "Juan R." <[juanrgonzaleza@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:juanrgonzaleza@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 24 Sep 2005 16:11:14 +0000 (UTC)
- 

Javier Bezos wrote:

> Juan R. wrote:

>

>> I also said that Einstein used the same notation and some other

>> details of 1904 paper by Lorentz (which Einstein claimed to unread).

>

> Let's assume this is true. Do you have some evidence that no other

> paper

> at the time used the same notation and "some other details"? (Kauffman,

> Abraham, Bucherer, Larmor, previous papers by Lorentz himself, etc.).

> Have

> you read and compared all the papers (original or in facsimilar form)

> on

> the topic published at that time? In order to claim Einstein copied the

> notation from the 1904 paper you have to demonstrate it, since just

> comparing the papers can reveal simply they both used the same

> sources (particularly Abraham's).

I understand you. I have not read and compared all the papers. Of course, i cannot prove 100% sure that Einstein copied directly to Lorentz. I can just prove that:

1) Lorentz obtained before Einstein.

2) The same notation is used and i find this interesting and I can state it.

3) There are at least two evidences that Einstein said not the true in priority issues. Einstein said 'newer read Poincar=E9' and we know that is not true. I already cited relevant reference on this. Einstein said to Hilbert had submitted the correct field equations of GR a "week ago" when is false like has been proven by a number of author. I already cited here.

4) That Einstein often did not cited relevant literature in previous works. E.g. does not cited Poincar=E9 when read, did not cited Hilbert in his GR paper of 25 day and simply postulated the field equation \*without\* proof, etc.

5) Einstein explicitly cites to Lorentz in a footnote.

You can obtain your own interpretation, conclusions, etc.

Moreover, If Einstein obtained that from Larmor or Abraham, etc, again he did not cite to them in his 1905 paper and my initial claim is transformed via the rule 'Lorentz' ----> 'Larmor or Abraham, etc'.

Juan R.

Center for CANONICAL |SCIENCE)

---

• **References:**

- ◆ **Re: What is the history of relativity theory? (continuation of Poincare thread)**  
◇ From: juanrgonzaleza

- Prev by Date: **Re: photoelectric effect : hypothetical experiment**
- Next by Date: **Re: Is general relativity incompatible with the Newtonian limit?**
- Previous by thread: **Re: What is the history of relativity theory? (continuation of Poincare thread)**
- Next by thread: **Re: What is the history of relativity theory? (continuation of Poincare thread)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**