

# Re: Quantum communication might be possible?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.research/2005-12/msg00154.html>

---

- *From:* [frisbieinstein@xxxxxxxx](mailto:frisbieinstein@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Mon, 19 Dec 2005 18:23:59 +0000 (UTC)
- 

Nick Maclaren wrote:

> In article <1134732055.995446.216520@xx>,  
> <frisbieinstein@xxxxxxxx> wrote:  
>>  
>>To sum up: The entanglement propagates at the speed of light. So you  
>>can't use the entanglement to produce faster-than-light communication.  
>  
> Hmm. You have evidence for this statement, of course? :-)  
>

This falls into the category of what I call "pragmatic laws." It means, "No one has proved it can't be done, but the smartest people in the world have had 50 years to figure this out and decided it can't be done with what we have now. If you want to step up to the plate then well and good, but maybe it would be better to work on something else until some fundamental breakthrough changes the landscape."

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ **[Re: Quantum communication might be possible?](#)**  
◇ *From:* Nick Maclaren
- *References:*
  - ◆ **[Quantum communication might be possible?](#)**  
◇ *From:* primus
  - ◆ **[Re: Quantum communication might be possible?](#)**  
◇ *From:* frisbieinstein
  - ◆ **[Re: Quantum communication might be possible?](#)**  
◇ *From:* Nick Maclaren
- Prev by Date: **[Re: Why Isn't a "Gluon Beam" Possible?](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: Basic Unification Question](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: Quantum communication might be possible?](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: Quantum communication might be possible?](#)**

Re: Quantum communication might be possible?

- Index(es):

- ◆ *Date*

- ◆ *Thread*