

## Re: Mass vs distance

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics.research/2006-02/msg00186.html>

---

- *From:* "Cl.Massé" <toto@xxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Mon, 13 Feb 2006 19:58:46 +0000 (UTC)
- 

"Phillip Helbig---remove CLOTHES to reply"  
<helbig@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> a écrit dans le message de news:  
dscjld\$jed\$1@xxxxxxxxxx

Also, you need to provide a mechanism for "mass decreasing with time" in order for it to be a scientific hypothesis. Otherwise it is no different than saying that galaxies are rotating faster than expected since angels are pushing them along.

Einstein didn't provided a mechanism for the photoelectric effect, he only studied a phenomenon and sought to parameterize it. We could also think he said an accountant were counting energy amount and freed an electron when there is enough of it.

---  
~~~~ clmasse on free F-country  
Liberty, Equality, Profitability.

.