

Re: 1 FERTZ

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-06/0849.html>

From: Y.Porat (maporat_at_012.net.il)

Date: 06/03/04

Date: 3 Jun 2004 07:15:56 -0700

Bjoern Feuerbacher <feuerbac@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de> wrote in message news:<c9mo0e\$1@news.urz.uni-heidelberg.de>...

> *Y.Porat wrote:*

> > *Bjoern Feuerbacher <feuerbac@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de> wrote in message news:<c9kvur\$4mk\$1@news.urz.uni-heidelberg.de>...*

> >

>

>

>

>

> *Oh, BTW, when Newton computed the orbit of the earth around the sun – had he also to consider all the details of the mass distribution in the earth, in your opinion?*

there is only a little difference between Newton's calculations and yours:

Newton's is **macrocosm**

while your fertz should belong to microcosm

unless you understand the difference you will remain the real crackpot.

>

>

> > *you ignored all of the reservations that*

> > *other readers pointed to you about the real situation*

> > *and stick only to your paper.*

>

> *Err, no. I *dealt* with these reservations, and it turned out*

> *that they are simply irrelevant for the problem at hand.*

you are cheating at this point

the sun radiation is **the relevant factor here:*

now since your new equations are so good

please tell us :

what will be the energy of your fertz (say in ergs)

2 what is the energy of sun radiation in comparison to that energy of your fertz.?

sci.physics: Re: 1 FERTZ

3 what is your prve that suin radiation does not change
during the year

crackpot
Y.Porat
