

Re: Preferred frame of reference

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-06/2302.html>

From: Bjoern Feuerbacher (feuerbac_at_thphys.uni-heidelberg.de)

Date: 06/07/04

Date: Mon, 07 Jun 2004 16:38:49 +0200

Paul Stowe wrote:

> *On Sat, 05 Jun 2004 17:53:11 GMT, Sam Wormley <swormley1@mchsi.com> wrote:*

>

>

>> *Paul Stowe wrote:*

>>

>>> *On Sat, 05 Jun 2004 03:58:54 GMT, Sam Wormley <swormley1@mchsi.com> wrote:*

>>>

>>>

>>>> *Paul Stowe wrote:*

>>>>

>>>>> *OK, what is the source of the CMBR?*

>>>>

>>>> *[Snip or rote passages...]*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> *Ref: http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/m_uni/uni_101bbtest3.html*

>>>>> *See: http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/m_uni/uni_101bbtest3.html*

>>>>

>>> *OK, now explain this Sam. It's like an old fashion flash bulb... A pulse of light propagates from the 'source' which is a very short duration event. Current theory says the light however will continue to move outward forever, until interacting with something. The light is not the source. The CMBR is NOT like the flash bulb analogy, if it were, then there would be a 'shell' of light NOT a uniform distributed field.*

>>

>> *Stowe obviously fails to understand the CMB photos were*

>> *emitted everywhere.*

>

>

> *Do you not realize how silly this statement is?*

No. Why on earth do you think it's silly? Perhaps because of the slight, obvious typing mistake, "photos" instead of "photons"?????

> *Let's see, you say, "CMB photos were emitted everywhere"*

sci.physics: Re: Preferred frame of reference

Yes. (photons)

> *Hey Dinky, now here's an Immortal Fumble... :)*

No. Why on earth do you think so?

> *So, we have a rather large army of invisible knomes emitting*

> *CMB photos everywhere, eh?*

Yes, you **really** keep harping on this **obvious** type! Amazing!

> *I think, perhaps, you SHOULD stick to parroting others.*

>

> *Perhaps yu were trying to say that the CMBR 'WAS (is being)*

> *EMITTED' everywhere.*

Yes. He said exactly that.

> *If so, yes, that also what I said.*

Nice. Then why do you claim that there should be a **shell** of light?

[snip]

Bye,
Bjoern